CHAPTER SEVENTEEN

RENDERINGS OF COMBINATIONS OF THE INFINITIVE ABSOLUTE AND FINITE VERBS IN THE SEPTUAGINT—THEIR NATURE AND DISTRIBUTION

1. Background

This study deals with the LXX equivalents of all occurrences of the infinitive absolute in the Hebrew Bible which are combined with finite verbal forms, denoted here as 'infinitive absolute constructions.' The translations of these constructions have been studied by Rieder (1884), Hauschild (1893),¹ and Thackeray (1908),² and are now examined in detail with the aid of the Göttingen editions and the *CATSS* database,³ focusing on the distribution of the various types of renderings. The statistics in the charts are intended to be exhaustive, but the examples are not.

The following constructions are used in the LXX for the infinitive absolute construction of the Hebrew.

1. An exact Greek equivalent of the construction *qatol qatalty*, that is, a combination of an infinitive absolute and a finite verb, appears only in two verses in the LXX:

¹ A. Rieder, "Quae ad syntaxin Hebraicam, qua infinitivus absolutus cum verbo finito eiusdem radicis coniungitur, planiorem faciendam ex lingua Graeca et Latina afferantur," *Programm des Königl. Friedrichsgymnasiums zu Gumbinnen* (Gumbinnen 1884) 1–3; G.R. Hauschild, "Die Verbindung finiter und infiniter Verbalformen desselben Stammes," *Berichte des freien Deutschen Hochstiftes zu Frankfurt am Main* NF 9,2 (1893) 99–126 (also published separately [Frankfurt am Main 1893]). Rieder, who also studied the infinitive absolute constructions in the Hebrew Bible (Leipzig 1872), treated its Greek renderings only briefly. Hauschild dealt more extensively with the Greek and Latin reflections of the infinitive absolute construction, together with the *figura etymologica*, focusing on the Greek and Latin language and not on translation technique.

² H.St.J. Thackeray, "Renderings of the Infinitive Absolute in the Septuagint," *JTS* 9 (1908) 597–601; id., *Grammar*, 47–50.

³ See Tov, "Computerized Database."*

Jer 44(51):25 - עָשָׁה תעשינה ... הקים תקימנה ... ועָשָּׁה תעשינה - ποιοῦσαι ποιήσομεν ... ἐμμείνασαι ἐνεμείνατε ... καὶ ποιοῦσαι ἐποιήσατε

2. Of the various equivalents of the Hebrew construction,⁴ the rendering that probably comes closest to the implication of the Hebrew is that which takes the Hebrew as a strengthened expression of the finite verb, reflecting that idea by an adverb. Thus the traditional understanding of, e.g., Gen 15:13 יְדָשׁ הַרִשׁ 'i s' you shall surely know,' and of Gen 40:15 שֶׁב שֶּבת' 'I was indeed stolen.' The adverb used in Greek may or may not be derived from the same root as the verb.⁵

| Gen 32:13 | היטב איטיב עמך | - | καλώς εὖ σε ποιήσω |
|------------|----------------|---|------------------------|
| Exod 15:1 | נְאֹה גְאָה | - | ἐνδόξως γὰρ δεδόξασται |
| Num 22:17 | כבד אכבדך | - | έντίμως γὰρ τιμήσω σε |
| 1 Sam 20:7 | חָרה יחרה | - | σκληρῶς ἀποκριθῆ |

This type of rendering is rare (see n. 5). The two main renderings used in the LXX are listed below as (3) and (4), occurring with differing frequencies in the various books.

3. A frequently used type of translation renders the infinitive absolute construction with a finite verb together with a Greek noun, either in the dative or, less frequently, in the accusative case, in singular or (rarely) in plural. In this way the close relationship between the verbal forms *qatol* and *qatalty* is transferred to a close relationship between a Greek finite verb and a noun, usually cognate. Constructions such as these existed already in classical Greek (e.g. $\phi \nu \gamma \hat{\eta} \phi \epsilon \dot{\nu} \gamma \omega$, $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \mu \omega / \gamma \dot{\alpha} \mu \sigma \nu \gamma \alpha \mu \dot{\epsilon} \omega$).

| Gen 40:15 | גּנָּב גָּנַבתי | - | κλοπῆ ἐκλάπην |
|-------------------|---------------------|---|-------------------------------|
| Gen 43:3 | הָעֵר הֵאָר | - | διαμαρτυρία διαμεμαρτύρηται |
| Exod 22:15 | מָהֹר ימהרנה | - | φερνῆ φερνιεῖ αὐτήν |
| Exod 23:22 | שָׁמוֹעַ תשמע | - | ἀκοῆ ἀκούσητε (frequently) |
| Or in plural, for | contextual reasons | : | |
| Lev 19:20 | והָפְהֵה לֹא נפּרתה | - | καὶ λύτροις οὐ λελύτρωται |
| Lev 24:16 | רגום ירגמו | - | λίθοις λιθοβολείτω (also Exod |
| 19:13; 21:28) | | | |
| Num 23:25 | קב לא תקבנו | - | κατάραις καταράση αὐτόν |
| Likowiso with t | ho accusativo.6 | | |

Likewise, with the accusative:

248

⁴ For the use and meaning of the infinitive absolute constructions in biblical Hebrew, see Gesenius–Kautzsch, *Grammar*, 342–345; A.B. Davidson, *Hebrew Syntax* (3d ed.; Edinburgh 1912) 116–120; see further earlier studies mentioned by Gesenius–Kautzsch.

⁵ The full evidence, referred to in the chart, involves the following verses: Gen 32:13; 37:33; 46:4; Exod 15:1, 21; Num 22:17; 1 Sam 20:7; Jer 25:30; Am 9:8; Prov 23:1, 24; 27:23.

⁶ The full evidence, referred to in the chart, involves the following verses: Gen 19:9; 50:15; Exod 21:22; 22:25; Lev 5:19; 7:24; Num 23:11; 27:7; Deut 14:22; 15:10, 14; Josh 24:10;

| Exod 22:25 | חָבֹל תחבל | - | ένεχύρασμα ένεχυράσης |
|---------------------|-----------------|---|------------------------------|
| Num 23:11 | ברכת בָרֵך | - | εὐλόγηκας εὐλογίαν |
| Num 27:7 | נְתֹ "תַת | - | δόμα δώσεις |
| Or with a prepositi | on (only once): | | |
| Lev 7:24(14) | ואָכֹל לא תאכלה | - | καὶ εἰς βρῶσιν οὐ βρωθήσεται |
| | | | (cf. the Greek context) |

Most renderings of this type use cognate nouns, as illustrated by the above examples. Sometimes this relation is expressed by a noun derived from a supplementary root, such as $\beta\rho\omega$ - and $\phi\alpha\gamma$ -:

| Gen 2:16 | אָכֹל תאכל | - | βρώσει φάγη |
|----------|------------|---|--------------------|
| Gen 3:4 | מות תמתו" | - | θανάτω ἀποθανεῖσθε |

In other cases cognate nouns differ slightly from the finite verb, representing compounds or related words.⁷

| ι |
|---|
| |
| |
| |
| |
| - |

4. The other main type of rendering represents the close relation-ship between *qatol* and *qatalty* by a combination of the Greek participle and finite verb, probably as close as the translators could come within the possibilities of the Greek verbal system. In some cases a different vocalization of the Hebrew may be surmised, e.g.

Gen 15:13 γινώσκων γνώση possibly reflects יָדַעַ תרע instead of רָדע יואנע מון יַדַעַ

| Lev 10:16 | ζ חד $\hat{\omega}\nu$ $\hat{\epsilon}\xi\epsilon\zeta$ $\hat{\eta}$ ד $\eta\sigma\epsilon\nu$ possibly reflects דּרַש דרש instead of |
|-----------|---|
| | פָרש דרש |

However, in most cases no different vocalization should be assumed, since the consonantal pattern would be different. E.g.,

| Gen 18:10 | שוב אשוב | - ἐπαναστρέφων ἥξω |
|-----------|--------------|---|
| Gen 22:17 | בָּרֵך אברכך | εὐλογῶν εὐλογήσω σε |
| Num 30:7 | הָיוֹ תהיה | - γενομένη γένηται |

Therefore, the only explanation of these renderings is that the translators considered them an adequate representation of the Hebrew construction.

Judg 20:43A; Isa 22:17; Jer 31:18; 48:2; 50:34; 51:56; Nah 3:13. Twice a different noun is used in <u>the accusative: Gen 37:33; Jer 25:30</u>.

⁷ The full evidence, alluded to in the chart, refers to these verses: Exod 17:14; 18:18; 19:13 (2 x); 21:28; 22:18,22; Num 11:15; 35:26.

This type of rendering was used for both active and passive verbal forms. Examples of the latter are:

| 2 Sam 17:10 | הָמֵּס יָמָּס | - | τηκομένη τακήσεται |
|-------------|------------------|---|---------------------------|
| Jer 10:5 | נְשׂוא יְנָשׂוּא | - | αἰρόμενα ἀρθήσονται |
| Nah 3:13 | פתוח נפתחו | - | ἀνοιγόμεναι ἀνοιχθήσονται |

In these constructions usually the cognate participle of the same root is used, as in the above examples. Sometimes the participle represents a supplementary root, such as $\lambda \in \gamma$ - and $\epsilon i \pi$ -:

| 11 2 | , , | | |
|----------------------------------|------------------|-------|----------------------------------|
| Gen 18:18 | הָיוֹ יהיה | - | γινόμενος ἔσται |
| Judg 15:2 B | אָמׂר אמרתי | - | λέγων εἶπα |
| Joel 2:26 | ואכלתם אכול | - | καὶ φάγεσθε ἐσθίοντες |
| Sometimes ⁸ a parti | ciple of a synon | ymoi | us verb is used. ⁹ |
| Gen 18:10 | שוב אשוב | - | ἐπαναστρέφων ἥξω |
| Exod 21:5 | אָבוֹר יאבור | - | ἀποκριθεὶς εἴπῃ |
| Exod 22:22 | צָעק יצעק | - | κεκράξαντες καταβοήσωσι |
| Exod 23:4 | הְשֵׁב תשיבנו | - | ἀποστρέψας ἀποδώσεις |
| Lev 13:7 | פּשׂה תפשה | - | μεταβαλοῦσα μεταπέση |
| Ps 109(108):10 | ונוע ינועו | - | σαλευόμενοι μεταναστήτωσαν |
| At times a composi | itum is used. | | |
| Lev 10:16 | فَحَتْ فَحَتْ | - | ζητῶν ἐξεζήτησεν |
| Num 12:14 | יָרֹק יְרַק | - | πτύων ἐνέπτυσεν |
| A variant of this ty adjectives. | ype of rendering | g use | s forms of είμι or γίγνομαι with |
| Exod 22:12 | טָרֹך ימרך | - | θηριάλωτον γένηται |
| | | | |

| Num 22:38 | היכל אוכל | - | δυνατὸς ἔσομαι |
|-----------|------------|---|------------------|
| Isa 40:30 | כשול יכשלו | - | ἀνίσχυες ἔσονται |

 $^{^{8}}$ Thackeray's remark (p. 599) '... where this is used in the Pentateuch an attempt is often made to render it more classical by varying the verb' is imprecise (see the numbers in the chart). This applies also to Thackeray's statement (ibid.) that this habit has been abandoned in the books of the Kingdoms, although the numbers are not large (1 Sam 2:16; 20:3; 2 Kgs 14:10). ⁹ In addition to the mentioned instances, see also Lev 14:48; Judg 16:11B; 1 Sam 2:16; 20:3;

² Kgs 14:10; Jer 37:9; Hab 2:3; Ps 118:13.

In these cases it seems as if the two Greek words together represent only one of the two Hebrew words, but the very use of two different Greek words reflects the translator's wish to represent the *two* words of the Hebrew.

Almost exclusively the participle of the present tense (active, passive, or medium) is used (see the above examples), and for exceptions see the aforementioned Exod 22:2; 23:4 as well as some other instances in which the translator stressed the past aspect expressed by the participle:

| 1 Sam 14:28 | הַשְׁבֵּעַ השביע | - | δρκίσας ὥρκισεν |
|-------------|------------------|---|----------------------|
| 1 Sam 14:43 | מַעֹם מעמתי | - | γευσάμενος έγευσάμην |

5. Yet a different way of expressing the infinitive absolute is the use of adjectives. This occurs very rarely in the LXX.¹⁰

| Num 13:30 | יכול נוכל | - | δυνατοὶ δυνησόμεθα |
|-----------|------------|---|----------------------|
| Amos 7:11 | גָּלה יגלה | - | αἰχμάλωτος ἀχθήσεται |

6. Combinations of *qatol qatalty* are often rendered by a Greek finite verb only, as if the translator gave up an attempt to find a suitable equivalent for the two words of the Hebrew. In some of these cases the translator may have known a shorter *Vorlage*, but in most cases different translation techniques must be presumed. The relatively large number of such renderings in Isaiah (see below) probably points in this direction.¹¹

| Gen 27:30 | ויהי אך יָצׂא יָצָא | - | καὶ ἐγένετο ὡς ἐξῆλθεν |
|-----------|---------------------|---|------------------------|
| Gen 30:16 | כי שָׂכֹר שכרתיך | - | μεμίσθωμαι γάρ σε |
| Gen 43:7 | הידוע נדע | - | μὴ ἦδειμεν |
| Isa 24:20 | נוע תנוע | - | <i>ἕκλιν</i> ε |
| Isa 56:3 | הַבְהֵל יברילני | - | ἀφοριεῖ με ἄρα |

7. Translations which do not express the special meaning of the Hebrew construction are rare:¹²

¹⁰ The full evidence, referred to in the chart, involves the following verses: Gen 44:28; Num 13:30; Amos 7:11,17; Job 14:18 (sub ast.).

¹¹ The full evidence, referred to in the chart, involves the following verses: Gen 8:7; 20:7; 24:5; 27:30; 30:16; 31:30; 43:7,20; Exod 2:19; 5:23; 12:9; 21:19,36; 22:2,4,5,11,13; 23:5; Lev 10:18; 13:22; 20:13; 27:19; Num 21:2; 22:37; 24:11; Josh 6:13; 9:24; 23:12,13; Judg 11:25A,35A; 14:12A; 1 Sam 2:30 (= 4QSam^a); 20:28; 23:22; 27:1; 2 Sam 3:24; 2 Kgs 5:11; Isa 10:16; 22:7; 24:20; 35:2; 36:15; 50:2,2; 55:2; 56:3; 59:11; Jer 11:7,12; 22:4; 42:15; 49:12; Ezek 1:3; 25:12; 31:11; Am 3:5; Zech 8:21; Ps 50:21; Prov 23:5; Lam 3:20; Est 4:14.

¹² The full evidence, referred to in the chart, involves the following verses: Gen 26:11; Exod 22:3, 12; 34:7; Num 22:38; Josh 7:7; 17:13; 2 Kgs 3:23; Isa 40:30; Jer 44:25 (3 x); Ezek 33:16; Hos 10:15; Job 13:5,10, 17; 21:2; Dan 11:10.

Gen 26:11 מות יומת - θανάτψ (θανάτου) ἔνοχος ἔσται. The almost universal LXX equivalent, θανάτψ with a verb of killing or dying, also employed in Gen 2:17; 3:4, was not used here.

Job 13:10 - הוכח יוכיח אתכם - οὐθὲν ἦττον ἐλέγξει ὑμας

On the basis of the aforementioned techniques we can sometimes reconstruct from the LXX infinitive absolute constructions not found in $MT.^{13}$

| Gen 19:17 | המלט על נפשך | - | σῷζων σῷζε τὴν σεαυτοῦ ψυχήν = מלט המלט על נפשך? |
|---------------|---------------|---|---|
| Num 30:6 | הניא | - | ἀνανεύων ἀνανεύση = הניא יניא (thus SP) |
| Jer 3:1 | הישוב | - | μὴ ἀνακάμπτουσα ἀνακάμψει = השוב ישוב? |
| Jer 31(38):33 | נתתי את תורתי | - | διδοὺς δώσω νόμους μου = נת נתתי את תורתי |
| | | 2 | |

The distribution of the various types of renderings in the books of the LXX is indicated in the chart with the following abbreviations:

1. Finite verb with participle

| | р | finite verb with <i>p</i> articiple |
|----|----------|---|
| | pd | idem, with different verb |
| | pc | idem, with compositum |
| 2. | aj | finite verb with <i>a</i> d <i>j</i> ective |
| 3. | - | finite verb only |
| 4. | v | varia |
| 5. | Finite v | verb with noun |
| | nd | cognate <i>n</i> oun in <i>d</i> ative |
| | ndd | idem, different noun |
| | na | cognate <i>n</i> oun in <i>a</i> ccusative |
| | nad | idem, different noun |

6. ad finite verb with *ad*verb

Notes to the chart:

1. Hebrew infinitive absolutes reconstructed from the LXX are indicated in the chart as '(+1).'

2. The statistics apply only to instances recognized by the translators as infinitives absolutes, disregarding textual problems:

¹³ The full evidence, referred to in the chart, involves the following verses: Gen 19:17; 47:22; Exod 11:9; 22:19; 23:22; Lev 24:21; Num 30:6; 35:21; Deut 13:16; 15:10; 1 Sam 2:25 2 x (= $4QSam^a$); 2 Sam 20:18; 2 Kgs 11:15; Isa 19:22; Jer 3:1; 7:4; 22:24; 31:33; 32:28; 34:2.

| Exod 21:19 | רק שבתו ית ורַפּא ירפא | - | πλὴν τῆς ἀργίας αὐτοῦ ἀποτείσει καὶ τὰ ἰατρεία |
|---------------------|------------------------|---|---|
| Josh 7:7 | העברת העביר | - | διεβίβασεν ὁ παῖς σου (עבד) |
| Job 13:17 שמעו?) | שמעו שמוע | - | ἀκούσατε ἀκούσατε (שֵׁמְעוּ) |

3. Infinite absolute constructions lacking in the LXX (e.g., Josh 6:13) are not included in the chart.

| Book | p^{14} | pd | pc | aj | — | v | nd | na | nad | ndd | ad |
|-----------|----------|----|----|----|----|---|--------|-------|-----|-----|------|
| Genesis | 11(+1) | 1 | 1 | 1 | 8 | 1 | 12(+1) | 2 | 1 | | 2 |
| Exodus | 2 | 3 | | | 11 | 3 | 17(+2) | 2 | | 8 | 2 |
| Leviticus | 5 | 2 | 1 | | 4 | | 23(+1) | 2 | | | |
| Numbers | 4 | | 2 | 1 | 3 | | 11(+1) | 2 | | 1 | 1 |
| Deut | 9(+1) | | | | | | 21(+2) | 2(+1) | | | |
| Joshua | 1 | | | | 3 | 1 | | 1 | | | |
| Judges-A | 9 | | | | 3 | | 10 | | | | |
| Judges-B | 13 | 1 | | | | 2 | 6 | | | | |
| 1 Samuel | 26(+2) | 2 | | | 4 | | 4 | | | 1 | 1 |
| 2 Samuel | 13(+1) | | | | 1 | | 6 | | | | |
| 1 Kings | 11 | | | | | | 4 | | | | |
| 2 Kings | 2(+1) | 1 | | | 1 | 1 | 6(+1) | | | | |
| Isaiah | 2 | | | | 10 | | 9(+1) | 1 | | | |
| Jeremiah | 25(+3) | 1 | | | 5 | | 12(+1) | 4 | 1 | | (+1) |
| Ezekiel | 2 | | | | 3 | 1 | 18 | | | | |
| Min Pr | 12 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | | 1 |
| Psalms | 5 | 2 | | | 1 | | 1 | | | | |
| Job | | | | 1 | | 4 | 1 | | | | |
| Proverbs | | | | | 1 | | | | | | 3 |
| Lam | 4 | | | | 1 | | | | | | |
| Ruth | | | | | | | 1 | | | | |
| Esther | 1 | | | | 1 | | | | | | |
| Canticles | | | | | | | 1 | | | | |
| Neh | | | | | | | 1 | | | | |
| 1 Chron | 4 | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2 Chron | 2 | | | | | | | | | | |
| Book | р | pd | pc | aj | — | v | nd | na | nad | ndd | ad |
| Daniel | | | | | | 1 | | | 1 | | |
| Sirach | 1 | | | | 1 | | 2 | 1 | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |

 14 This group includes supplementary forms, such as $\lambda \acute{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$ and $\acute{\varepsilon t} \pi o \nu.$

Conclusions:

1. The two main types of renderings use either cognate participles (*p*, *pc*) or nouns in the dative or accusative (*nd*, *ndd*, *na*, *nad*). Some books display a relatively large number of renderings reflecting only finite forms of the verb (-).

2. Some books reflect a distinct preference for a certain construction. The following books prefer the noun constructions: Exodus, Leviticus, Numbers, Deuteronomy, Judges A, Ezekiel. The participle construction is preferred in Judges B, 1-2 Samuel, 1 Kings, Jeremiah, and the Minor Prophets. In other books the statistics are not conclusive. The one book in which the rendering by the finite verb only occurs frequently is Isaiah (see below). It is hard to know whether the distinction between the two major types of renderings is that between the early and late translation units. For example, in Genesis, probably the earliest translation, they are equally distributed. Likewise, it is difficult to know whether the different types of translation reflect a different approach towards the translation technique. Since the participle construction seems to be a more literal reflection of the Hebrew than the noun construction, it may have been more at home in literal translation units. This assumption fits the difference between the A and B manuscripts of Judges (see below), and probably also that of the other books, but for several books there is too little evidence.

3. Greek passive verbal forms tend to be used together with the noun construction rather than with passive participles, probably because the translators found the use of the passive participle together with finite forms too complex. However, as the use of passive verbal forms is rather rare, it cannot be claimed that they determined the choice between one of the two major systems of representation.¹⁵

4. The statistics should be analyzed cautiously and cannot be taken at face value. Contents must be taken into consideration, especially in books containing a relatively large number of identical renderings. Thus in 2 Kings containing 6 instances of the noun construction as against 3 of the participle construction nevertheless the latter is more frequent, since all instances of the former pertain to renderings of החיה החיה, et sim., and היה החיה. These two Hebrew constructions constitute also the majority (12) of the 18 noun constructions in Ezekiel.

¹⁵ Thackeray's statement (*Grammar*, 598) that 'the construction with the noun is always used in the Pent. where the verb is in the passive' is indeed correct for the Pentateuch, but not for the other books (see, e.g., 1 Sam 2:27; 2 Sam 17:11; Jer 3:1; Am 5:5; Ps 118:13).

5. Translators did not distinguish between the translation of phrases of the type *qatol qatalty* and the reverse sequence *qatalty qatol*.

6. The A text of Judges, preferring the noun construction, differs from the B text, otherwise known to be more literal, preferring the participle construction, E.g.,

| Judg 7:19 | הקם הקימו | A B | ἐγέρσει ἤγειρεν ἐγείροντες ἤγειραν |
|------------|-------------|--------|---------------------------------------|
| Judg 11:30 | נתון "תתן" | A B | παραδώσει παραδῷς διδοὺς δῷς |
| Judg 17:3 | הקדש הקדשתי | A B | ἁγιασμῷ ἡγίασα ἁγιάζουσα ἡγίακα |

7. Only Exodus and Isaiah contain a significant number of finite forms rendering the two-word phrase. These should be considered free translation options. The only other conglomeration of unusual translation options is in Job, which contains more unconventional than conventional renderings. This, too, indicates a free approach to the translation.

Content analysis:

1. Since Greek has no exact equivalent for the infinitive absolute construction, different translation options developed, of which two have become firmly established. The first translators probably established certain translation habits, while later translators learned from earlier ones. In this regard one should note the distribution in Genesis in which the two main constructions are used in an equally large number of instances, while in the next books of the Torah the noun construction prevails. Probably the translator of Genesis was still searching for the right type of rendering for the Hebrew construction.

2. Every translation unit contains exceptions to the majority rendering, although it is unclear under what conditions the majority rendering was abandoned. One possible explanation for such exceptions in the Torah would be that the participle construction was used when no appropriate cognate or other noun was found. This explanation would apply to such verbs as אום מחל היה, but not for ירע, נהן, שאל verb, etc. For the Torah and the other books the main reason for the different translation habits appears to be inconsistency.

3. A different explanation pertains to translation units which prefer the participle construction and in which noun constructions are often found. Since the noun constructions are preferred in four of the books of the Torah, it seems that the translational tradition was often instrumental, especially for a few frequently occurring expressions. This applies especially to the noun constructions rendering expressions. This (46 x in the Bible; no exceptions), and חדה חדה חדה, et sim. (6 times in the Bible; no exceptions), as visible in 2 Kings, Ezekiel and 1 Samuel. In 1 Samuel the noun construction forms the minority rendering (five instances, four of which pertain to במות המות 14:39,44; 22:16). Likewise, throughout the LXX there is considerable consistency concerning the rendering of ἀκούω, et sim.).

4. At the same time, one often encounters internal inconsistency within one verse, context, or translation unit, such as in:

| Lev 13:7 | פְּשֹׁה תפשה | - | μεταβαλοῦσα μεταπέσῃ |
|------------|---|---|--|
| Lev 13:27 | פְּשֹׁה תפשה | - | διαχύσει διαχέηται |
| Lev 13:35 | פְּשֹׁה יפשה | - | διαχύσει διαχέηται |
| Judg 11:25 | המוב מוב אתה הרוב רב אם וֵלְחֹם ולחם | | μὴ κρείσσων εἶ σὺ μὴ μάχῃ ἐμαχέσατο ἢ πολεμῶν ἐπολέμησεν |
| T .1 | 1 | | |

In the same chapters of Jeremiah combinations of the infinitive absolute with finite forms of בת are rendered both with a noun (32:4; 34:2 [not in MT]) and a participle (31:33 [not in MT]; 32:28 [not in MT]; 38:3).

5. Infinitive absolute constructions involving a specific Hebrew root are rendered differently in the LXX as a whole as well as within individual translation units. This fact underlines our contention that the preferences of the translators were more instrumental in determining the different renderings than anything else. Thus combinations with "רדע" are rendered with a noun in the dative (Jer 40:14), a participle (Gen 15:13; 1 Sam 20:3,9; 28:1; 1 Kgs 2:37,42; Jer 26:15; 42:19), an adverb (Prov 27:23) and with a finite verb only (Gen 43:7; Josh 23:13). Combinations with "הדע" are rendered with a noun in the dative (Gen 47:22; Judg 11:30; Jer 32:4; 34:2) or the accusative (Num 27:7), a participle (Deut 15:10; Judg 8:25; 2 Sam 5:19; Jer 31:33; 32:28; 38:3) or with a finite verb only (Num 21:2). The consistently rendered occurrences of a few combinations, such as mentioned in remark 3, are the exception rather than the rule.